



Neighborhoods of a Class of Analytic Functions with Negative Coefficients

O. ALTINTAŞ AND Ö. ÖZKAN

Department of Mathematics, Hacettepe University
Beytepe, TR-06532 Ankara, Turkey
<altintas><oznur>@eti.cc.hun.edu.tr

H. M. SRIVASTAVA

Department of Mathematics and Statistics
University of Victoria, Victoria, British Columbia V8W 3P4, Canada
harimsri@math.uvic.ca

(Received and accepted May 1999)

Abstract—By making use of the familiar concept of neighborhoods of analytic functions, the authors prove several inclusion relations associated with the (n, δ) -neighborhoods of various subclasses of starlike and convex functions of complex order. Special cases of some of these inclusion relations are shown to yield known results. © 2000 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved.

Keywords—Analytic functions, Identity function, Starlike functions, Convex functions, (n, δ) -neighborhood, Inclusion relations.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\mathcal{A}(n)$ denote the class of functions $f(z)$ of the form

$$f(z) = z - \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} a_k z^k, \quad (a_k \geq 0; n \in \mathbb{N} := \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}), \quad (1.1)$$

which are analytic in the open unit disk

$$\mathcal{U} = \{z : z \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } |z| < 1\}.$$

Following [1,2], we define the (n, δ) -neighborhood of a function $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}(n)$ by

$$N_{n,\delta}(f) := \left\{ g \in \mathcal{A}(n) : g(z) = z - \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} b_k z^k \text{ and } \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} k |a_k - b_k| \leq \delta \right\}. \quad (1.2)$$

The present investigation was supported, in part, by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada under Grant OGP0007353.

In particular, for the identity function

$$e(z) = z, \quad (1.3)$$

we immediately have

$$N_{n,\delta}(e) := \left\{ g \in \mathcal{A}(n) : g(z) = z - \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} b_k z^k \text{ and } \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} k |b_k| \leq \delta \right\}. \quad (1.4)$$

The main object of the present paper is to investigate the (n, δ) -neighborhoods of the following subclasses of the class $\mathcal{A}(n)$ of *normalized* analytic functions in \mathcal{U} with negative coefficients.

A function $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}(n)$ is said to be *starlike of complex order* γ ($\gamma \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$), that is, $f \in \mathcal{S}_n^*(\gamma)$, if it also satisfies the inequality

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{\gamma} \left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - 1 \right) \right\} > 0, \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}; \gamma \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}). \quad (1.5)$$

Furthermore, a function $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}(n)$ is said to be *convex of complex order* γ ($\gamma \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$), that is, $f \in \mathcal{C}_n(\gamma)$, if it also satisfies the inequality

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{\gamma} \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right\} > 0, \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}; \gamma \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}). \quad (1.6)$$

The classes $\mathcal{S}_n^*(\gamma)$ and $\mathcal{C}_n(\gamma)$ stem essentially from the classes of starlike and convex functions of complex order, which were considered earlier by Nasr and Aouf [3] and Wiatrowski [4], respectively, (see also [5,6]).

Finally, let $\mathcal{S}_n(\gamma, \lambda, \beta)$ denote the subclass of $\mathcal{A}(n)$ consisting of functions $f(z)$ which satisfy the inequality

$$\left| \frac{1}{\gamma} \left(\frac{zf'(z) + \lambda z^2 f''(z)}{\lambda z f'(z) + (1-\lambda)f(z)} - 1 \right) \right| < \beta, \quad (1.7)$$

$$(z \in \mathcal{U}; \gamma \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}; 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1; 0 < \beta \leq 1).$$

Also let $\mathcal{R}_n(\gamma, \lambda, \beta)$ denote the subclass of $\mathcal{A}(n)$ consisting of functions $f(z)$ which satisfy the inequality

$$\left| \frac{1}{\gamma} \{f'(z) + \lambda z f''(z) - 1\} \right| < \beta, \quad (1.8)$$

$$(z \in \mathcal{U}; \gamma \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}; 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1; 0 < \beta \leq 1).$$

Various further subclasses of the classes $\mathcal{S}_n(\gamma, \lambda, \beta)$ and $\mathcal{R}_n(\gamma, \lambda, \beta)$ with $\gamma = 1$ were studied in many earlier works (cf., e.g., [7,8]; see also the references cited in these earlier works). Clearly, we have

$$\mathcal{S}_n(\gamma, 0, 1) \subset \mathcal{S}_n^*(\gamma) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{R}_n(\gamma, 0, 1) \subset \mathcal{C}_n(\gamma), \quad (1.9)$$

$$(n \in \mathbb{N}; \gamma \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}).$$

2. A SET OF INCLUSION RELATIONS INVOLVING $N_{n,\delta}(e)$

In our investigation of the inclusion relations involving $N_{n,\delta}(e)$, we shall require Lemmas 1 and 2 below.

LEMMA 1. *Let the function $f \in \mathcal{A}(n)$ be defined by (1.1), then $f(z)$ is in the class $\mathcal{S}_n(\gamma, \lambda, \beta)$ if and only if*

$$\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \{\lambda(k-1) + 1\} (k + \beta|\gamma| - 1) a_k \leq \beta|\gamma|. \quad (2.1)$$

PROOF. We first suppose that $f \in \mathcal{S}_n(\gamma, \lambda, \beta)$. Then, by appealing to condition (1.7), we readily get

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{zf'(z) + \lambda z^2 f''(z)}{\lambda z f'(z) + (1 - \lambda)f(z)} - 1 \right\} > -\beta|\gamma|, \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}), \quad (2.2)$$

or equivalently,

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{-\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \{\lambda(k-1) + 1\} (k-1)a_k z^k}{z - \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \{\lambda(k-1) + 1\} a_k z^k} \right\} > -\beta|\gamma|, \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}), \quad (2.3)$$

where we have made use of definition (1.1).

Now choose values of z on the real axis and let $z \rightarrow 1-$ through real values. Then inequality (2.3) immediately yields the desired condition (2.1).

Conversely, by applying hypothesis (2.1) and letting $|z| = 1$, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{zf'(z) + \lambda z^2 f''(z)}{\lambda z f'(z) + (1 - \lambda)f(z)} - 1 \right| &= \left| \frac{\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \{\lambda(k-1) + 1\} (k-1)a_k z^k}{z - \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \{\lambda(k-1) + 1\} a_k z^k} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{\beta|\gamma| \left(1 - \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \{\lambda(k-1) + 1\} a_k \right)}{1 - \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \{\lambda(k-1) + 1\} a_k} \\ &\leq \beta|\gamma|. \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

Hence, by the maximum modulus theorem, we have

$$f \in \mathcal{S}_n(\gamma, \lambda, \beta),$$

which evidently completes the proof of Lemma 1.

Similarly, we can prove the following.

LEMMA 2. Let the function $f \in \mathcal{A}(n)$ be defined by (1.1), then $f(z)$ is in the class $\mathcal{R}_n(\gamma, \lambda, \beta)$ if and only if

$$\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} k \{\lambda(k-1) + 1\} a_k \leq \beta|\gamma|. \quad (2.5)$$

REMARK 1. A special case of Lemma 1 when

$$\gamma = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \beta = 1 - \alpha, \quad (0 \leq \alpha < 1)$$

was given earlier by Altıntaş [7, p. 489, Theorem 1].

Our first inclusion relation involving $N_{n,\delta}(e)$ is given by the following.

THEOREM 1. Let

$$\delta = \frac{(n+1)\beta|\gamma|}{(\lambda n+1)(n+\beta|\gamma|)}, \quad (|\gamma| < 1), \quad (2.6)$$

then

$$\mathcal{S}_n(\gamma, \lambda, \beta) \subset N_{n,\delta}(e). \quad (2.7)$$

PROOF. For $f \in \mathcal{S}_n(\gamma, \lambda, \beta)$, Lemma 1 immediately yields

$$(\lambda n + 1)(n + \beta|\gamma|) \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} a_k \leq \beta|\gamma|,$$

so that

$$\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} a_k \leq \frac{\beta|\gamma|}{(\lambda n + 1)(n + \beta|\gamma|)}. \quad (2.8)$$

On the other hand, we also find from (2.1) and (2.8) that

$$\begin{aligned} (\lambda n + 1) \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} k a_k &\leq \beta|\gamma| + (1 - \beta|\gamma|)(\lambda n + 1) \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} a_k \\ &\leq \beta|\gamma| + (1 - \beta|\gamma|)(\lambda n + 1) \frac{\beta|\gamma|}{(\lambda n + 1)(n + \beta|\gamma|)} \\ &\leq \frac{(n + 1)\beta|\gamma|}{n + \beta|\gamma|}, \quad (|\gamma| < 1), \end{aligned}$$

that is,

$$\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} k a_k \leq \frac{(n + 1)\beta|\gamma|}{(\lambda n + 1)(n + \beta|\gamma|)} = \delta, \quad (2.9)$$

which, in view of definition (1.4), proves Theorem 1.

By similarly, applying Lemma 2 instead of Lemma 1, we can prove the following.

THEOREM 2. *Let*

$$\delta = \frac{\beta|\gamma|}{\lambda n + 1}, \quad (2.10)$$

then

$$\mathcal{R}_n(\gamma, \lambda, \beta) \subset N_{n, \delta}(e). \quad (2.11)$$

REMARK 2. A special case of Theorem 1 when

$$\gamma = 1 - \alpha, \quad (0 \leq \alpha < 1), \quad \lambda = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \beta = 1 \quad (2.12)$$

was proven recently by Altıntaş and Owa [9, p. 798, Theorem 2.1].

3. NEIGHBORHOODS FOR THE CLASSES $\mathcal{S}_n^{(\alpha)}(\gamma, \lambda, \beta)$ AND $\mathcal{R}_n^{(\alpha)}(\gamma, \lambda, \beta)$

In this section, we determine the neighborhood for each of the classes

$$\mathcal{S}_n^{(\alpha)}(\gamma, \lambda, \beta) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{R}_n^{(\alpha)}(\gamma, \lambda, \beta),$$

which we define as follows. A function $f \in \mathcal{A}(n)$ is said to be in the class $\mathcal{S}_n^{(\alpha)}(\gamma, \lambda, \beta)$ if there exists a function $g \in \mathcal{S}_n(\gamma, \lambda, \beta)$ such that

$$\left| \frac{f(z)}{g(z)} - 1 \right| < 1 - \alpha, \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}; 0 \leq \alpha < 1). \quad (3.1)$$

Analogously, a function $f \in \mathcal{A}(n)$ is said to be in the class $\mathcal{R}_n^{(\alpha)}(\gamma, \lambda, \beta)$ if there exists a function $g \in \mathcal{R}_n(\gamma, \lambda, \beta)$ such that inequality (3.1) holds true.

THEOREM 3. If $g \in \mathcal{S}_n(\gamma, \lambda, \beta)$ and

$$\alpha = 1 - \frac{(\lambda n + 1)(n + \beta|\gamma|)\delta}{n(n + 1)\{\lambda(n + \beta|\gamma|) + 1\}}, \quad (3.2)$$

then

$$N_{n,\delta}(g) \subset \mathcal{S}_n^{(\alpha)}(\gamma, \lambda, \beta). \quad (3.3)$$

PROOF. Suppose that $f \in N_{n,\delta}(g)$. We then find from definition (1.2) that

$$\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} k |a_k - b_k| \leq \delta, \quad (3.4)$$

which readily implies the coefficient inequality

$$\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} |a_k - b_k| \leq \frac{\delta}{n+1}, \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}). \quad (3.5)$$

Next, since $g \in \mathcal{S}_n(\gamma, \lambda, \beta)$, we have [cf. equation (2.8)]

$$\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} b_k \leq \frac{\beta|\gamma|}{(\lambda n + 1)(n + \beta|\gamma|)}, \quad (3.6)$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{f(z)}{g(z)} - 1 \right| &< \frac{\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} |a_k - b_k|}{1 - \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} b_k} \\ &\leq \frac{\delta}{n+1} \cdot \frac{(\lambda n + 1)(n + \beta|\gamma|)}{n\{\lambda(n + \beta|\gamma|) + 1\}} \\ &= 1 - \alpha, \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

provided that α is given precisely by (3.2). Thus, by definition, $f \in \mathcal{S}_n^{(\alpha)}(\gamma, \lambda, \beta)$ for α given by (3.2), which evidently completes our proof of Theorem 3.

Our proof of Theorem 4 below is much akin to that of Theorem 3.

THEOREM 4. If $g \in \mathcal{R}_n(\gamma, \lambda, \beta)$ and

$$\alpha = 1 - \frac{(\lambda n + 1)\delta}{(n + 1)(\lambda n + 1) - \beta|\gamma|}, \quad (3.8)$$

then

$$N_{n,\delta}(g) \subset \mathcal{R}_n^{(\alpha)}(\gamma, \lambda, \beta). \quad (3.9)$$

REFERENCES

1. A.W. Goodman, Univalent functions and nonanalytic curves, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **8**, 598–601, (1957).
2. S. Ruscheweyh, Neighborhoods of univalent functions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **81**, 521–527, (1981).
3. M.A. Nasr and M.K. Aouf, Starlike function of complex order, *J. Natur. Sci. Math.*, 1–12, (1985).
4. P. Wiatrowski, On the coefficients of some family of holomorphic functions, *Zeszyty Nauk. Univ. Łódź Nauk. Mat.-Przyrod* **2** (39), 75–85, (1970).
5. P.L. Duren, *A Series of Comprehensive Studies in Mathematics*, Volume 259, Springer-Verlag, New York, (1983).
6. H.M. Srivastava and S. Owa, *Current Topics in Analytic Function Theory*, World Scientific, Singapore, (1992).
7. O. Altıntaş, On a subclass of certain starlike functions with negative coefficients, *Math. Japon.* **36**, 489–495, (1991).
8. H.M. Srivastava, S. Owa and S.K. Chatterjea, A note on certain classes of starlike functions, *Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova* **77**, 115–124, (1970).
9. O. Altıntaş and S. Owa, Neighborhoods of certain analytic functions with negative coefficients, *Internat. J. Math. and Math. Sci.* **19**, 797–800, (1996).